



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

QUIGLEY *et al.*

Appl. No.: 09/710,238

Filed: November 9, 2000

For: **Pre-Equalization Technique For
Upstream Communication Between
Cable Modem And Headend**

Confirmation No.: 4904

Art Unit: 2667

Examiner: Boakye, A.

Atty. Docket: 1875.132000G (as amended)

Reply Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In reply to the Office Action dated February 8, 2005, (PTO Prosecution File Wrapper Paper No. 20050130), Applicants submit the following Remarks. This Reply is provided in the following format:

- (A) Each section begins on a separate sheet;
- (B) Starting on a separate sheet, amendments to the specification by presenting replacement paragraphs marked up to show changes made;
- (C) Starting on a separate sheet, a complete listing of all of the claims:
 - in ascending order;
 - with status identifiers; and
 - with markings in the currently amended claims;
- (D) Starting on a separate sheet, the Remarks.

It is not believed that extensions of time or fees for net addition of claims are required beyond those that may otherwise be provided for in documents accompanying this paper. However, if additional extensions of time are necessary to prevent

abandonment of this application, then such extensions of time are hereby petitioned under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a), and any fees required therefor (including fees for net addition of claims) are hereby authorized to be charged to our Deposit Account No. 19-0036.